

# COMP-649B 2009 Homework set #3

**Due Monday April, 20 2009**

## A. Non-Universality...

Let  $\epsilon(n) > 0$  be some information bound (function). Assume that we would like to use an  $n$ -bit key  $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$  as a one-time pad to encrypt an  $n$ -bit message  $M = (M_1, \dots, M_n)$ . Furthermore, assume that an adversary is interested in the  $n^{\text{th}}$  bit  $M_n$  of the message, but already knows the first  $n-1$  bits  $M_1, \dots, M_{n-1}$ . Upon observing the ciphertext, the adversary can easily determine the first  $n-1$  bits of  $S$ .

[15%] • Show that the adversary can choose a random variable  $W$  such that  $I(W;S) < \epsilon$  but such that she can determine the  $n^{\text{th}}$  bit  $S_n$  with certainty from  $W$  and  $S_1, \dots, S_{n-1}$ .

[5%] • What is the smallest information bound  $\epsilon(n)$  for which you can solve the above question ?

## B. Quantum Secret Sharing...

[10%] • Show that an  $[[n,k,d]]$  quantum error-correcting code can be used as a Quantum Secret Sharing scheme with  $n$  shares  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  such that fewer than  $A$  shares contain no information about the secret, whereas  $B$  or more shares are always enough to reconstruct the secret.

[10%] • Establish the bounds  $A$  and  $B$  as a function of  $n, k$  and  $d$ .

( If you find the general case too difficult, restrict your proof to **CSS** codes, for  $\frac{1}{2}$  the credits. )

[10%] • Find some **QECC** family such that  $B=A+1$ .

## C. Code Equivalence (EQ)

Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be generator matrices of two linear codes  $C$  and  $C'$ . We say that codes  $C$  and  $C'$  are *equivalent* if there exists a permutation  $\pi$  of the columns of  $G$  such that  $\pi(G)$  and  $G'$  generate the exact same linear subspace.

[15%] • Give a Zero-Knowledge protocol for the language **EQ** of all pairs of generating matrices of equivalent codes.

[15%] • Give an Interactive Proof for the complement language **Non-EQ**.

## D. Quantum Linear Codes...

As far as I can tell, this problem leads to a genuinely original characterization of some Quantum Codes. We are about to define a notion of Quantum Linear Codes. For this exercise, we will focus on binary codes but it could be generalized easily to arbitrary fields, replacing the C-NOT gates by arbitrary ADDITION gates in the field.

A pair of  $n$ -qubit pure states  $|\psi_0\rangle$  and  $|\psi_1\rangle$  are linearly compatible if there exists a pure state  $|\phi_1\rangle$  such that  $C\text{-NOT}^{\otimes n}(|\psi_0\rangle \otimes |\psi_1\rangle) = |\psi_0\rangle \otimes |\phi_1\rangle$ .

- [10%]  
[5%]
- 1) Show that the code-words of a CSS code  $C$  form a set of linearly compatible states.
  - 2) Show that for all  $|\psi_0\rangle, |\psi_1\rangle \in C$ , the corresponding  $|\phi_1\rangle \in C$  as well.

A state  $|\zeta\rangle$  is called the *zero-state* if it is such that for any linearly compatible state  $|\psi\rangle$  we have  $C\text{-NOT}^{\otimes n}(|\zeta\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle) = |\zeta\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle$ .

- [5%]
- 3) Identify the *zero-state* of a CSS code.

Let's define a basis spanning a linear sub-space of quantum states. A *basis*  $|\beta_0\rangle, |\beta_1\rangle, \dots, |\beta_k\rangle$  is a set of linearly compatible states. Intuitively, the *Span* of a basis is the set of all states that we can reach by linearly combining the states of the basis. We formally define the Span of a set of states recursively as follows:

$$\text{SPAN}(|\beta_0\rangle) := \{ |\zeta\rangle, |\beta_0\rangle \}$$

$$\text{SPAN}(|\beta_0\rangle, |\beta_1\rangle, \dots, |\beta_k\rangle) := \{ |\psi\rangle \mid \text{there exists a } |\phi\rangle \in \text{SPAN}(|\beta_0\rangle, |\beta_1\rangle, \dots, |\beta_{k-1}\rangle) \text{ such that } C\text{-NOT}^{\otimes n}(|\beta\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle) = |\beta\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle, \text{ for either } |\beta\rangle = |\zeta\rangle \text{ or } |\beta_k\rangle. \}$$

- [15%]
- 4) Show that for any CSS code  $C$  of dimension  $k$ , there exist  $k$  states  $|\beta_0\rangle, |\beta_1\rangle, \dots, |\beta_{k-1}\rangle$  such that  $C = \text{SPAN}(|\beta_0\rangle, |\beta_1\rangle, \dots, |\beta_{k-1}\rangle)$ .

We define a Quantum Linear Code of size  $n$  and dimension  $k$  to be the Span of a set of  $k$  linearly compatible independent states  $|\beta_0\rangle, |\beta_1\rangle, \dots, |\beta_{k-1}\rangle$ . (By independent we mean that for all index  $i$ ,  $|\beta_i\rangle \notin \text{SPAN}(|\beta_0\rangle, |\beta_1\rangle, \dots, |\beta_{i-1}\rangle)$ ).

- [+25%]
- 5) \*\*Show that the sets of Linear Quantum Codes and Stabilizer Codes are indeed the same. **Alternatively, find a Stabilizer Code that fails to satisfy one of the above 4 properties.**

The proposed approach is to repeat the above four sub-questions with general Stabilizer Codes and proving that Linear Quantum Codes can always be defined by a Stabilizer.

---

\*\* I have not yet proved this part, so we are all together into this ...