



A PSEUDORANDOM GENERATOR FROM ANY ONE-WAY FUNCTION

JOHAN HÅSTAD , RUSSELL IMPAGLIAZZO , LEONID A. LEVIN , AND MICHAEL LUBY

3.1. Adversaries and security.

DEFINITION 3.1 (breaking adversary and security). *An adversary A is a function ensemble. The time–success ratio of A for an instance f of a primitive is defined as $\mathbf{R}_{t_n} = T_n/sp_n(A)$, where t_n is the length of the private input to f , T_n is the worst-case expected running time of A over all instances parameterized by n , and $sp_n(A)$ is the success probability of A for breaking f . In this case, we say A is an \mathbf{R} -breaking adversary for f . We say f is \mathbf{R} -secure if there is no \mathbf{R} -breaking adversary for f .*

3.2. One-way function.

DEFINITION 3.2 (one-way function). *Let $f : \{0, 1\}^{t_n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$ be a \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble and let $X \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^{t_n}$. The success probability of adversary A for inverting f is*

$$sp_n(A) = \Pr[f(A(f(X))) = f(X)].$$

Then f is an \mathbf{R} -secure one-way function if there is no \mathbf{R} -breaking adversary for f .

3.3. Pseudorandom generator.

DEFINITION 3.3 (computationally indistinguishable). *Let $\mathcal{D} : \{0,1\}^{\ell_n}$ and $\mathcal{E} : \{0,1\}^{\ell_n}$ be probability ensembles. The success probability of adversary A for distinguishing \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} is*

$$sp_n(A) = |\Pr[A(X) = 1] - \Pr[A(Y) = 1]|,$$

where X has distribution \mathcal{D} and Y has distribution \mathcal{E} . \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} are \mathbf{R} -secure computationally indistinguishable if there is no \mathbf{R} -breaking adversary for distinguishing \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} .

DEFINITION 3.5 (pseudorandom generator). *Let $g : \{0,1\}^{t_n} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{\ell_n}$ be a \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble where $\ell_n > t_n$. Then g is an \mathbf{R} -secure pseudorandom generator if the probability ensembles $g(\mathcal{U}_{t_n})$ and \mathcal{U}_{ℓ_n} are \mathbf{R} -secure computationally indistinguishable.*

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Suppose $g : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ is a pseudorandom generator that stretches by one bit. Define $g^{(1)}(x) = g(x)$, and inductively, for all $i \geq 1$,*

$$g^{(i+1)}(x) = \langle g(g^{(i)}(x)_{\{1, \dots, n\}}), g^{(i)}(x)_{\{n+1, \dots, n+i\}} \rangle.$$

Let k_n be an integer-valued \mathbf{P} -time polynomial parameter. Then $g^{(k_n)}$ is a pseudorandom generator.

3.4. Pseudoentropy and false-entropy generators.

DEFINITION 3.7 (computational entropy). *Let $f : \{0,1\}^{t_n} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{\ell_n}$ be a \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble and let s_n be a polynomial parameter. Then f has \mathbf{R} -secure computational entropy s_n if there is a \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble $f' : \{0,1\}^{m_n} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{\ell_n}$ such that $f(\mathcal{U}_{t_n})$ and $f'(\mathcal{U}_{m_n})$ are \mathbf{R} -secure computationally indistinguishable and $\mathbf{H}(f'(\mathcal{U}_{m_n})) \geq s_n$.*

DEFINITION 3.8 (pseudoentropy generator). *Let $f : \{0, 1\}^{t_n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$ be a \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble and let s_n be a polynomial parameter. Then f is an \mathbf{R} -secure pseudoentropy generator with pseudoentropy s_n if $f(\mathcal{U}_{t_n})$ has \mathbf{R} -secure computational entropy $t_n + s_n$.*

DEFINITION 3.9 (false-entropy generator). *Let $f : \{0, 1\}^{t_n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$ be a \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble and let s_n be a polynomial parameter. Then f is an \mathbf{R} -secure false-entropy generator with false entropy s_n if $f(\mathcal{U}_{t_n})$ has \mathbf{R} -secure computational entropy $\mathbf{H}(f(\mathcal{U}_{t_n})) + s_n$.*

3.5. Hidden bits.

DEFINITION 3.10 (hidden bit). *Let $f : \{0, 1\}^{t_n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$ and $b : \{0, 1\}^{t_n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be \mathbf{P} -time function ensembles. Let $\mathcal{D} : \{0, 1\}^{t_n}$ be a \mathbf{P} -samplable probability ensemble, let $X \in_{\mathcal{D}} \{0, 1\}^{t_n}$, and let $\beta \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}$. Then $b(X)$ is \mathbf{R} -secure hidden given $f(X)$ if $\langle f(X), b(X) \rangle$ and $\langle f(X), \beta \rangle$ are \mathbf{R} -secure computationally indistinguishable.*

4.1. Constructing a hidden bit.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$ be a one-way function. Then $X \odot R$ is hidden given $\langle f(X), R \rangle$, where $X, R \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^n$.*

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$ be a one-way function. Then $\langle f(X), R, X \odot R \rangle$ and $\langle f(X), R, \beta \rangle$ are computationally indistinguishable, where $X, R \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^n$ and $\beta \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}$.*

4.2. One-way permutation to a pseudorandom generator.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$ be a one-way permutation. Let $x, r \in \{0,1\}^n$ and define \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble $g(x, r) = \langle f(x), r, x \odot r \rangle$. Then g is a pseudorandom generator.*

Proof. Let $X, R \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0,1\}^n$, and $\beta \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0,1\}$. Because f is a permutation, $\langle f(X), R, \beta \rangle$ is the uniform distribution on $\{0,1\}^{2n+1}$. By Proposition 4.3, $g(X, R)$ and $\langle f(X), R, \beta \rangle$ are computationally indistinguishable. \square

Proposition 4.4 works when f is a permutation because

- (1) $f(X)$ is uniformly distributed and hence already looks random;
- (2) for any $x \in \{0,1\}^n$, $f(x)$ uniquely determines x . So no entropy is lost by the application of f .

For a general one-way function neither (1) nor (2) necessarily holds. Intuitively, the rest of the paper constructs a one-way function with properties (1) and (2) from a general one-way function. This is done by using hash functions to smooth the entropy of $f(X)$ to make it more uniform and to recapture the entropy of X lost by the application of $f(X)$.

Proposition 4.4 produces a pseudorandom generator that only stretches the input by one bit. To construct a pseudorandom generator that stretches by many bits, combine this with the construction described previously in Proposition 3.6.

4.3. One-to-one one-way function to a pseudoentropy generator.

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$ be a one-to-one one-way function. Let $x, r \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and define \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble $g(x, r) = \langle f(x), r, x \odot r \rangle$. Then g is a pseudoentropy generator with pseudoentropy 1.*

Proof. Let $X, R \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^n$ and $\beta \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}$. Proposition 4.3 shows that $g(X, R)$ and $\langle f(X), R, \beta \rangle$ are computationally indistinguishable, where the reduction is linear-preserving with respect to the alternative definition of computationally indistinguishable. Because f is a one-to-one function and β is a random bit, $\mathbf{H}(f(X), R, \beta) = 2n+1$, and thus $g(X, R)$ has pseudoentropy 1. \square

Note that it is not possible to argue that g is a pseudorandom generator. For example, let $f(x) = \langle 0, f'(x) \rangle$, where f' is a one-way permutation. Then f is a one-to-one one-way function and yet $g(X, R) = \langle f(X), R, X \odot R \rangle$ is not a pseudorandom generator, because the first output bit of g is zero independent of its inputs, and thus its output can easily be distinguished from a uniformly chosen random string.

4.4. Universal hash functions.

DEFINITION 4.6 (universal hash functions). *Let $h : \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n} \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{m_n}$ be a \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble. Recall from Definition 2.9 that for fixed $y \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$, we view y as describing a function $h_y(\cdot)$ that maps n bits to m_n bits. Then h is a (pairwise independent) universal hash function if, for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, $x' \in \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{x\}$, and for all $a, a' \in \{0, 1\}^{m_n}$,*

$$\Pr[(h_Y(x) = a) \text{ and } (h_Y(x') = a')] = 1/2^{2m_n},$$

where $Y \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$.

DEFINITION 2.4 (Renyi entropy). *Let \mathcal{D} be a distribution on a set S . The Renyi entropy of \mathcal{D} is $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{Ren}}(\mathcal{D}) = -\log(\Pr[X = Y])$, where $X \in_{\mathcal{D}} S$ and $Y \in_{\mathcal{D}} S$ are independent.*

There are distributions that have arbitrarily large entropy but have only a couple of bits of Renyi entropy.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *For any distribution \mathcal{D} , $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{Ren}}(\mathcal{D}) \leq \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{D})$.*

4.5. Smoothing distributions with hashing.

LEMMA 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{D} : \{0, 1\}^n$ be a probability ensemble that has Renyi entropy at least m_n . Let e_n be a positive-integer-valued parameter. Let $h : \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n} \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{m_n - 2e_n}$ be a universal hash function. Let $X \in_{\mathcal{D}} \{0, 1\}^n$, $Y \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$, and $Z \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^{m_n - 2e_n}$. Then*

$$\mathbf{L}_1(\langle h_Y(X), Y \rangle, \langle Z, Y \rangle) \leq 2^{-(e_n + 1)}.$$

Theorem 3: Let X be a random variable over the alphabet \mathcal{X} with probability distribution P_X and Rényi entropy $R(X)$, let G be the random variable corresponding to the random choice (with uniform distribution) of a member of a universal class of hash functions $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^r$, and let $Q = G(X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H(Q|G) &\geq R(Q|G) \geq r - \log_2 (1 + 2^{r-R(X)}) \\ &\geq r - \frac{2^{r-R(X)}}{\ln 2}. \end{aligned}$$

Generalized Privacy Amplification

PROPOSITION 4.9. *Let k_n be an integer-valued polynomial parameter.*

- *Let $\mathcal{D} : \{0, 1\}^n$ be a probability ensemble.
There is a probability ensemble $\mathcal{E} : \{0, 1\}^{nk_n}$ satisfying*
 - $\mathbf{H}_{\text{Ren}}(\mathcal{E}) \geq k_n \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{D}) - nk_n^{2/3},$
 - $\mathbf{L}_1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}^{k_n}) \leq 2^{-k_n^{1/3}}.$
- *Let $\mathcal{D}_1 : \{0, 1\}^n$ and $\mathcal{D}_2 : \{0, 1\}^n$ be not necessarily independent probability ensembles; let $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle$. There is a probability ensemble $\mathcal{E} : \{0, 1\}^{2nk_n}$, with $\mathcal{E} = \langle \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2 \rangle$, satisfying the following:*
 - *For every value $E_1 \in \{0, 1\}^{nk_n}$ such that $\Pr_{\mathcal{E}_1}[E_1] > 0$,*
 $\mathbf{H}_{\text{Ren}}(\mathcal{E}_2 | \mathcal{E}_1 = E_1) \geq k_n \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{D}_2 | \mathcal{D}_1) - nk_n^{2/3}.$
 - $\mathbf{L}_1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}^{k_n}) \leq 2^{-k_n^{1/3}}.$

COROLLARY 4.10. *Let k_n be an integer-valued \mathbf{P} -time polynomial parameter.*

- *Let $\mathcal{D} : \{0,1\}^n$ be a probability ensemble, let $m_n = k_n \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{D}) - 2nk_n^{2/3}$, and let $h : \{0,1\}^{p_n} \times \{0,1\}^{nk_n} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{m_n}$ be a universal hash function. Let $X' \in_{\mathcal{D}^{k_n}} \{0,1\}^{k_n \times n}$ and let $Y \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0,1\}^{p_n}$. Then*

$$\mathbf{L}_1(\langle h_Y(X'), Y \rangle, \mathcal{U}_{m_n+p_n}) \leq 2^{1-k_n^{1/3}}.$$

- *Let $\mathcal{D}_1 : \{0,1\}^n$ and $\mathcal{D}_2 : \{0,1\}^n$ be not necessarily independent probability ensembles, and let $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle$. Let $m_n = k_n \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{D}_2 | \mathcal{D}_1) - 2nk_n^{2/3}$. Let $h : \{0,1\}^{p_n} \times \{0,1\}^{nk_n} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{m_n}$ be a universal hash function. Let $\langle X'_1, X'_2 \rangle \in_{\mathcal{D}^{k_n}} \{0,1\}^{k_n \times 2n}$ and let $Y \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0,1\}^{p_n}$. Then*

$$\mathbf{L}_1(\langle h_Y(X'_2), Y, X'_1 \rangle, \langle \mathcal{U}_{m_n+p_n}, X'_1 \rangle) \leq 2^{1-k_n^{1/3}}.$$

4.6. Pseudoentropy generator to a pseudorandom generator.

PROPOSITION 4.11. *Let $\mathcal{D} : \{0, 1\}^n$ and $\mathcal{E} : \{0, 1\}^n$ be two probability ensembles and let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$ be a \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble. Let \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} be computationally indistinguishable. Then $f(\mathcal{D})$ and $f(\mathcal{E})$ are computationally indistinguishable.*

PROPOSITION 4.12. *Let k_n be an integer-valued \mathbf{P} -time polynomial parameter. Let $\mathcal{D} : \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$ and $\mathcal{E} : \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$ be \mathbf{P} -samplable probability ensembles. Let \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} be computationally indistinguishable. Then \mathcal{D}^{k_n} and \mathcal{E}^{k_n} are computationally indistinguishable.*

CONSTRUCTION 4.13. *Let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{m_n}$ be a \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble and let s_n be a \mathbf{P} -time polynomial parameter. Let $k_n = (\lceil (2m_n + 1)/s_n \rceil)^3$ and $j_n = \lfloor k_n(n + s_n) - 2m_n k_n^{2/3} \rfloor$. Let $h : \{0, 1\}^{p_n} \times \{0, 1\}^{k_n m_n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{j_n}$ be a universal hash function. Let $u \in \{0, 1\}^{k_n \times n}$, $y \in \{0, 1\}^{p_n}$, and define \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble $g(u, y) = \langle h_y(f^{k_n}(u)), y \rangle$.*

THEOREM 4.14. *Let f and g be as described in Construction 4.13. Let f be a pseudoentropy generator with pseudoentropy s_n . Then g is a pseudorandom generator.*

Proof. Let $f' : \{0, 1\}^{n'_n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{m_n}$ be the \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble that witnesses the pseudoentropy generator of f as guaranteed in Definition 3.7 of computational entropy; i.e., $f'(X')$ and $f(X)$ are \mathbf{R} -secure computationally indistinguishable and $\mathbf{H}(f'(X')) \geq n + s_n$, where $X \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^n$ and $X' \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^{n'_n}$. Let $U \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^{k_n \times n}$, $W \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^{k_n \times n'_n}$, and $Y \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^{p_n}$. By Proposition 4.12, $f^{k_n}(U)$ and $f'^{k_n}(W)$ are computationally indistinguishable. From Proposition 4.11, it follows that $g(U, Y) = \langle h_Y(f^{k_n}(U)), Y \rangle$ and $\langle h_Y(f'^{k_n}(W)), Y \rangle$ are computationally indistinguishable. Because $\mathbf{H}(f'(X')) \geq n + s_n$, by choice of k_n and j_n , using Corollary 4.10, it follows that $\mathbf{L}_1(\langle h_Y(f'^{k_n}(W)), Y \rangle, \mathcal{U}_{j_n+p_n}) \leq 2^{-k_n^{1/3}}$. Thus, it follows that $g(U, Y)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{j_n+p_n}$ are computationally indistinguishable. Note that by choice of k_n , the output length $j_n + p_n$ of g is longer than its input length $nk_n + p_n$. \square

4.7. False entropy generator to a pseudoentropy generator.

CONSTRUCTION 4.15. *Let $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{\ell_n}$ be a \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble. Let s_n be a \mathbf{P} -time polynomial parameter and assume for simplicity that $s_n \leq 1$. Let \mathbf{e}_n be an approximation of $\mathbf{H}(f(X))$ to within an additive factor of $s_n/8$, where $X \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0,1\}^n$. Fix $k_n = \lceil (4n/s_n)^3 \rceil$ and $j_n = \lceil k_n(n - \mathbf{e}_n) - 2nk_n^{2/3} \rceil$. Let $h : \{0,1\}^{p_n} \times \{0,1\}^{nk_n} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{j_n}$ be a universal hash function. For $u \in \{0,1\}^{k_n \times n}$ and $r \in \{0,1\}^{p_n}$, define \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble*

$$g(\mathbf{e}_n, u, r) = \langle f^{k_n}(u), h_r(u), r \rangle.$$

LEMMA 4.16. *Let f and g be as described in Construction 4.15. Let f be a false-entropy generator with false entropy s_n . Then g is a mildly nonuniform pseudoentropy generator with pseudoentropy 1.*

4.8. Mildly nonuniform to a uniform pseudorandom generator.

PROPOSITION 4.17. *Let \mathbf{a}_n be any value in $\{0, \dots, k_n\}$, where k_n is an integer-valued \mathbf{P} -time polynomial parameter. Let $g : \{0, 1\}^{\lceil \log(k_n) \rceil} \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$ be a \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble, where $\ell_n > nk_n$. Let $x' \in \{0, 1\}^{k_n \times n}$ and define \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble $g'(x') = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_n} g(i, x'_i)$. Let g be a mildly nonuniform pseudorandom generator when the first input is set to \mathbf{a}_n . Then g' is a pseudorandom generator.*

4.9. Summary.

- a reduction from a one-way permutation to a pseudorandom generator (from subsection 4.2);
- a reduction from a one-to-one one-way function to a pseudorandom generator (combining subsections 4.3 and 4.6);
- a reduction from a pseudoentropy generator to a pseudorandom generator (from subsection 4.6);
- a reduction from a false-entropy generator to a pseudorandom generator (combining subsections 4.7, 4.6, and 4.8).

6.2. Construction and main theorem.

Let

$$(6.1) \quad f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$$

be a one-way function and let

$$(6.2) \quad h : \{0, 1\}^{p_n} \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n + \lceil \log(2n) \rceil}$$

be a universal hash function. Similar to Construction 5.1, for $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, and $r \in \{0, 1\}^{p_n}$, define **P**-time function ensemble

$$(6.3) \quad f'(x, i, r) = \langle f(x), h_r(x)_{\{1, \dots, i + \lceil \log(2n) \rceil\}}, i, r \rangle$$

$$(6.4) \quad k_n \geq 125n^3.$$

DEFINITION 2.7 (degeneracy of f). *Let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$ and let $X \in \mathcal{U}_{\{0, 1\}^n}$. The degeneracy of f is $\mathbf{D}_n(f) = \mathbf{H}(X|f(X)) = \mathbf{H}(X) - \mathbf{H}(f(X))$.*

DEFINITION 2.13 ($\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_f$). *Let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell_n}$ be a \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble. For $z \in \text{range}_f$, define the approximate degeneracy of z as*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_f(z) = \lceil \log(\sharp \text{pre}_f(z)) \rceil.$$

Part of the construction is to independently and randomly choose k_n sets of inputs to f' and concatenate the outputs. In particular, let $X' \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^{k_n \times n}$, $I' \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^{k_n \times \lceil \log(n) \rceil}$, $R' \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^{k_n \times p_n}$. Part of the construction is then $f'^{k_n}(X', I', R')$.

Let $I \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, let

$$(6.5) \quad \mathbf{p}_n = \Pr[I \leq \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_f(f(X))],$$

$$(6.6) \quad m_n = k_n \mathbf{p}_n - 2k_n^{2/3}$$

$$(6.7) \quad h' : \{0, 1\}^{p'_n} \times \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{m_n}$$

be a universal hash function, let $U \in_{\mathcal{U}} \{0, 1\}^{p'_n}$, and define \mathbf{P} -time function ensemble

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &g(\mathbf{p}_n, X', Y', I', R', U) \\ &= \langle h'_U(\langle X'_1 \odot Y'_1, \dots, X'_{k_n} \odot Y'_{k_n} \rangle), f'^{k_n}(X', I', R'), U, Y' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 6.2. *Let f be a one-way function and g be as described above in (6.1)–(6.8). Then g is a mildly nonuniform false-entropy generator with false entropy $10n^2$.*

7. A direct construction. We have shown how to construct a false-entropy generator from an arbitrary one-way function, a pseudoentropy generator from a false-entropy generator, and finally a pseudorandom generator from a pseudoentropy generator. The combinations of these constructions give a pseudorandom generator from an arbitrary one-way function as stated in Theorem 6.3. By literally composing the reductions given in the preceding parts of this paper, we construct a pseudorandom generator with inputs of length n^{34} from a one-way function with inputs of length n . This is obviously not a suitable reduction for practical applications. In this subsection, we use the concepts developed in the rest of this paper, but we provide a more direct and efficient construction. However, this construction still produces a pseudorandom generator with inputs of length n^{10} , which is clearly still not suitable for practical applications. (A sharper analysis can reduce this to n^8 , which is the best we could find using the ideas developed in this paper.) The result could only be considered practical if the pseudorandom generator had inputs of length n^2 , or perhaps even close to n . (However, in many special cases of one-way functions, the ideas from this paper are practical; see, e.g., [Luby96].)

CONSTRUCTION 7.1.

$$g(\mathcal{X}', Y', R_1, R_2, R_3) = \langle h_{R_1}(\mathcal{X}'), h_{R_2}(b^{k_n}(\mathcal{X}', Y')), h_{R_3}(f'^{k_n}(\mathcal{X}')), Y', R_1, R_2, R_3 \rangle.$$

THEOREM 7.2. *If f is a one-way function and g is as in Construction 7.1, then g is a mildly nonuniform pseudorandom generator.*

We still need to use Proposition 4.17 to get rid of the mild nonuniformity. From the arguments above, it is clear that an approximation of both \mathbf{e}_n and \mathbf{p}_n that is within $1/(8n)$ of their true values is sufficient. Since $0 \leq \mathbf{e}_n \leq n$, and $0 \leq \mathbf{p}_n < 1$, there are at most $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ cases of pairs to consider. This means that we get a total of $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ generators, each needing an input of length $\mathcal{O}(n^7)$. Thus the total input size to the pseudorandom generator is $\mathcal{O}(n^{10})$, as claimed.



A PSEUDORANDOM GENERATOR FROM ANY ONE-WAY FUNCTION

JOHAN HÅSTAD , RUSSELL IMPAGLIAZZO , LEONID A. LEVIN , AND MICHAEL LUBY