# **COMP-647B Advanced Cryptography**

# Problem set #2 due on <u>Tuesday February 27, 2007</u>

## 5. BCSS from BSC

Suppose Alice and Bob have access to a Binary Symmetric Channel (**BSC**) with error probability  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ . More precisely, for both bits b, **BSC**<sub> $\varepsilon$ </sub>(b) outputs b with probability 1-  $\varepsilon$ and  $\neg b$  with probability  $\varepsilon$ . Typically, Alice sends a random bit binto the channel and Bob gets the output b'. Alice and Bob remain uncertain about the exact value of the other party's bit.

Show how they can use this channel to construct a statistically binding and concealing Bit Commitment scheme (**BCSS**).

## 6. MA in IA-PZK.

Show that under the assumption of existence of a BCCP, it is possible for a poly-time prover to demonstrate membership to a language L in MA in perfect zero-knowledge. Assume that for every  $x \in L$ , the prover is given a witness w of membership to L.

#### 7. Expected running time.

Compute precisely the expected running time (total number of calls to **random**) of the following algorithm related to [NOVY98]:

```
i:=1;

WHILE i<n DO

q_i:=(0,0,0,...,0);

WHILE q_i \in \text{SPAN}(q_1,q_2,...,q_{i-1}) DO random(q_i);

i:=i+1;
```

#### 8. <u>Claw-free collections.</u>

Consider the following definition:

**Definition 3** (Claw-Free Collection). A triple of algorithms, (I, D, F), is called a **claw-free collection** if the following conditions hold:

- 1. The algorithms are efficient: Both I and D are probabilistic polynomial time, whereas F is deterministic polynomial time. We denote by  $f_i^{\sigma}(x)$  the output of F on input  $(\sigma, i, x)$ , and by  $D_i^{\sigma}$  the support of the random variable  $D(\sigma, i)$ .
- 2. Identical range distribution: For every *i* in the range of algorithm *I*, the random variables  $f_i^0(D(0, i))$  and  $f_i^1(D(1, i))$  are identically distributed.
- 3. Hard to form claws: For every probabilistic polynomial time algorithm, A', every polynomial  $p(\cdot)$ , and all sufficiently large n's,

$$\operatorname{Prob}(f_{I_n}^0(X_n) = f_{I_n}^1(Y_n)) < \frac{1}{p(n)},$$

where  $I_n$  is a random variable describing the output distribution of algorithm I on input  $1^n$ , and  $(X_n, Y_n)$  is a random variable describing the output of algorithm A' on input (random variable)  $I_n$ .

**8.A** Let p be a prime (with known factorization of p-1) sufficiently large so that the discrete logarithm problem (mod p) is considered infeasible. Show how we can construct a Claw-Free Collection from this assumption.

**8.B** Show how we can use Claw-Free Collections to construct a **BCCP** under the extra assumption below:

**Proposition 1.** Let (I, D, F) be a claw-free collection with a probabilistic polynomial-time recognizable set of indices (*i.e.*, the range of algorithm I is in  $\mathcal{BPP}$ ).

**8.C** Explain why this extra assumption is useful.