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## INSTRUCTIONS:

- This examination is worth $50 \%$ of your final grade.
- The total of all questions is 105 points.
- Each question heading contains (in parenthesis) a list of values for each sub-questions.
- This is an open book exam. All documentation is permitted.
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- The exam consists of 6 questions on 3 pages, title page included.



## Question I. Perfect RSA? ( 10 + $10=20$ points )

'Consider an RSA crypto-system with keys ( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d}$ ) as usual except that only $\mathbf{N}$ is 'publicly available.
'I) By definition, we know that $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{e d}} \mathbf{m o d} \mathbf{N} \equiv \mathbf{m}$ for $\mathbf{m}$ s.t. $\boldsymbol{g c d}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{N})=\mathbf{I}$. Using the Chinese remainder theorem show that $\mathbf{m}^{\text {ed }} \mathbf{m o d} \mathbf{N} \equiv \mathbf{m}$ for $\mathbf{m}$ s.t. $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{N})>\mathbf{I}$ as well.
II) Assume Alice and Bob use (e,d) as the private encryption-decryption keys of an RSA crypto-system mod $\mathbf{N}$ for exactly one message $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{0}<\mathbf{m}<\mathbf{N}$. Explain whether this one-time system is perfect according to Shannon's definition.

## Question 2. DDES ( $8+7=15$ points)

Consider the 128 -bit block cipher DDES obtained by combining two instances of DES in a two-round Feistel network. The total key-size of this new cipher would be II2 bits.

- Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a I28-bit input and $\mathbf{k}$ be a II2-bit key. Give an explicit formula for the encryption and decryption functions of DDES.
- Discuss the pseudo-random nature of the permutation defined by DDES.


## Question 3. Rivest ( $10+10=20$ points )

'Remember the construction by Rivest of a private-key crypto-system based on the 'existence of an arbitrary private-key authentication scheme.
'a) Show that the definition of security of the MAC is not sufficient for the resulting; crypto-system to have undistinguishable encryptions in the presence of an eavesdropper.
b) Define a stronger security notion for MACs such that the construction of Rivest iyields a crypto-system with undistinguishable encryptions in the presence of an eavesdropper.

## Question 4. Number Theory vs Crypto ( 5 + 5 + 5 = I 5 points )

For each of the following Number Theoretical concepts, name a Cryptographic concept which is related and explain the relation.
I) Chinese remainder theorem.
2) Quadratic Residuosity.
3) $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{k}$, for $\mathbf{k} \geq \mathbf{I}$.

## Question 5. Elgamal Details ( $10+10=20$ points )

Instantiate all the parameters of an Elgamal encryption scheme from a prime $\mathbf{p = 4 7}$ and igive me an encryption of $\mathbf{m = 1 0}$. Give me all the details of the crypto-system, taking into account all the implementation details seen in class.
(All your calculations can be done by hand.)

## Question 6. Merkle-Damgård... ( $8+7=15$ points)

In Construction 4.13 the size $\mathbf{L}$ of the input string $\mathbf{x}$ is such that $\mathbf{L}<\mathbf{2}^{1(n)}$. It is very peculiar that if we hash a string $\mathbf{x}$ of length $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{n})}$ - I using $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{s}}$, the time needed to hash the string is greater than the time needed to find a collision of $\mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{s}}$ by a birthday attack. This seems to imply that hashing exponentially long strings is insecure.
i) Explain why this is not contradicting the security statement (Theorem 4.14) that if $\mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{s}}$ is collision-resistant then $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{s}}$ is also collision-resistant.
ii) Why do we still use an exponential bound $\left(\mathbf{L}<\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{1 ( n )}}\right)$ in Construction 4.13 and not a polynomial bound such as $\mathbf{L}<\mathbf{l}(\mathbf{n})^{\mathbf{k}}$ ?

