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## INSTRUCTION:

- This examination is worth $50 \%$ of your final grade.
- The total of all questions is 109 points.
- Each question is assigned a value found in parenthesis next to it.
- This is an open book examination. All documentation is permitted.
- Faculty standard calculator permitted only.
- This examination consists of 6 questions on 4 pages, including title page.

> Suggestion: read all the questions and their values before you start.

## Question 1. Easy bits (12 points)

Let $\mathbf{p}$ be an odd prime and $\mathbf{g}$ be a primitive element $\bmod \mathbf{p}$.

- Show that given $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{x}} \bmod \mathbf{p}$, the predicate $\mathbf{I s b}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})$ is easy to compute.
- Show that given $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{a}} \bmod \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{b}} \bmod \mathbf{p}$ there is a predicate of $^{\mathbf{a b}} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { m o d }} \mathbf{p}$ that is easy to compute.


## Question 2. Second Preimage (12 points)

4.6 Suppose that $f:\{0,1\}^{m} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{m}$ is a preimage resistant bijection. Define $h:\{0,1\}^{2 m} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{m}$ as follows. Given $x \in\{0,1\}^{2 m}$, write

$$
x=x^{\prime} \| x^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in\{0,1\}^{m}$. Then define

$$
h(x)=f\left(x^{\prime} \oplus x^{\prime \prime}\right) .
$$

Prove that $h$ is not second preimage resistant.

## Question 3. Blum-Goldwasser à la RSA (25 points)

Let $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{p q}$ be the product of two large primes such that $\mathbf{p} \equiv \mathbf{q} \equiv \mathbf{2}(\bmod 3)$.

- Provide all the details of a variant of the Blum-Goldwasser cryptosystem where we use RSA with public exponent $\mathbf{3}\left(\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathbf{l s b}\left(\mathbf{s}_{0}^{\mathbf{3}^{i}} \bmod \mathbf{n}\right)\right)$ instead of BBS $\left(\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathbf{l} \mathbf{s b}\left(\mathbf{s}_{0}^{\mathbf{2}^{i}} \bmod \mathbf{n}\right)\right)$ as in the original system. Rewrite the entire description of the Blum-Goldwasser cryptosystem as given in cryptosystem 8.2 (see next page).
- Explain why choosing exponent 3 is a better choice than an arbitrary RSA exponent.

- Compare the security of the resulting system to the security of the original system.


## Cryptosystem 8.2: Blum-Goldwasser Public-key Cryptosystem

Let $n=p q$, where $p$ and $q$ are primes, $p \equiv q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. The integer $n$ is public; the factorization $n=p q$ is secret. Let $\mathcal{P}=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{\ell}, \mathrm{C}=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{\ell} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n}{ }^{*}$ and $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}_{n}{ }^{*}$. Define $\mathcal{K}=\{(n, p, q)\}$, where $n, p$ and $q$ are as defined above. For $K=(n, p, q), x \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{\ell}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}{ }^{*}$, encrypt $x$ as follows:

1. Compute $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{\ell}$ from seed $s_{0}=r$ using the BBS Generator.
2. Compute $s_{\ell+1}=s_{0}{ }^{\ell \ell+1} \bmod n$.
3. Compute $y_{i}=\left(x_{i}+z_{i}\right) \bmod 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.
4. Define $e_{K}(x, r)=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\ell}, s_{\ell+1}\right)$.

To decrypt $y$, Bob performs the following steps:

1. Compute $a_{1}=((p+1) / 4)^{\ell+1} \bmod (p-1)$.
2. Compute $a_{2}=((q+1) / 4)^{\ell+1} \bmod (q-1)$.
3. Compute $b_{1}=s_{\ell+1}{ }^{a_{1}} \bmod p$.
4. Compute $b_{2}=s_{\ell+1}{ }^{a_{2}} \bmod q$.
5. Use the Chinese remainder theorem to find $r$ such that

$$
r \equiv b_{1}(\bmod p)
$$

and

$$
r \equiv b_{2}(\bmod q) .
$$

6. Compute $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{\ell}$ from seed $s_{0}=r$ using the BBS Generator.
7. Compute $x_{i}=\left(y_{i}+z_{i}\right) \bmod 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.
8. The plaintext is $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\ell}\right)$.

## Question 4. One-time padding (20 points)

Consider the following cryptosystem $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{C}=\{1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{p}-1\}$ for a prime p :

$$
E_{k}(x)=k x \bmod p \text { and } D_{k}(y)=k^{-1} y \bmod p
$$

- Show that this cryptosystem is a perfect cipher.
- Show that for $p=3$ this cryptosystem is such that $E_{k}(x)=D_{k}(x)$.
- Show also that essentially for $\mathbf{p = 3}$ this cryptosystem is the same as the binary one-time pad where $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{k}$.


## Question 5. Short and Sweet (25 points)

(a) (5 points)

Explain why the RSA signature scheme is not resistant to existential forgeries?
(b) (5 points)

What is the unicity distance of a $\mathbf{1 0 2 4}$ modulus RSA crypto-system?
(c) (5 points)

Explain how we could break RSA if we could extract discrete logs modulo $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{p}^{*} \mathbf{q}$.
(d) (10 points)

In Rabin's cryptosystem, the encryption function is $\operatorname{Rabi}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}} \bmod \mathbf{n}$, with $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{p} \mathbf{*}^{\mathbf{q}}$. The decryption function consists of extracting the square root of $\operatorname{Rabi}_{n}(\mathbf{x})$, which we can do efficiently given $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$. Consider the following extension of Rabin's crypto-system, named RRSA (Rabin-RSA): let e be a public exponent and d a private exponent such that $\mathbf{e}^{*} \mathbf{d} \bmod \phi(\mathbf{n})=\mathbf{2}$ for $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{p}^{*} \mathbf{q}$, the product of two large primes.

- Show that $\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{\mathrm{d}} \bmod \mathbf{n}=\operatorname{Rabi}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{x})$ for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}<\mathbf{x}<\mathbf{n}$.
- Compare the security of RRSA to RSA and Rabin cryptosystems.


## Question 6. Information Theory (15 points)

Let $\mathbf{P}$ be the random variable for the plaintext messages, $\mathbf{C}$ be the random variable for the ciphertext messages, and $\mathbf{K}$ be the random variable for the keys of a cryptosystem.

- Prove the following statement $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{C} \mid \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{P})=\mathbf{H}(\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{C})$.
- Why is the assumption $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{P} ; \mathbf{K})=\mathbf{0}$, usually made about cryptosystems?
- If we have a public-key cryptosystem, let $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{e}}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{d}}$ be the random variables for the public (encryption) key and private (decryption) key.
What are the values of $\mathbf{H}\left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{d}} \mid \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ and $\mathbf{I}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{e}} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ ??

