# Winter 2016 <br> COMP-250: Introduction to Computer Science Lecture 23, April 5, 2016 

## Comment about input size...

2) 

Write any algorithm that runs in time $\Theta\left(n^{2} \log ^{2} n\right)$ in worse case. Explain why this is its running time. I don't care what it does. I only care about its running time...

WhatEver(int m)

```
FOR i=1 TO m
    FOR j=1 TO m
    x=m; WHILE x>1 DO { x=x/2; y=m;
                                WHILE y>1 DO y=y/2 }
```

$\mathrm{n}=|\mathrm{m}| \sim \log \mathrm{m}$. Therefore running time is $\Theta\left(m^{2} \log ^{2} m\right)=\Theta\left(2^{2 n} n^{2}\right)$

## Comment about input size...

2) 

Write any algorithm that runs in time $\Theta\left(n^{2} \log ^{2} n\right)$ in worse case. Explain why this is its running time. I don't care what it does. I only care about its running time...

WhatEver(int[] A)
$\mathrm{n}=$ A.length;
FOR $\mathrm{i}=1$ TO n
FOR $\mathrm{j}=1$ TO n
$x=n$; WHILE $x>1$ DO $\{x=x / 2$; $y=n$;
WHILE $y>1$ DO $y=y / 2\}$

## Mercury Course Evaluations

## Course evaluations matter. Evaluate your courses and instructors!

## Default period:

March 21 - May 1
Condensed period:
March 21 - April 17
Click HERE to
complete your course evaluations.

## Strings and Pattern Matching

- Brute Force,Rabin-Karp, Knuth-Morris-Pratt
- Regular Expressions


## The Knuth-Morris-Pratt Algorithm

- The Knuth-Morris-Pratt (KMP) string searching algorithm differs from the brute-force algorithm by keeping track of information gained from previous comparisons.
- A failure function $(f)$ is computed that indicates how much of the last comparison can be reused if it fails.
- Specifically, $f$ is defined to be the longest prefix of the pattern $\mathrm{P}[0, . ., \mathrm{j}]$ that is also a suffix of $\mathrm{P}[1, \ldots, \mathrm{j}]$
- Note: not a suffix of P[0,..,j]


## The Knuth-Morris-Pratt Algorithm

- Specifically, $f$ is defined to be the longest prefix of the pattern $P[0, . ., j]$ that is also a suffix of $P[1, . ., j]$
- Note: not a suffix of P[0,..,j]
- Example:
- value of the KMP failure function:

| j | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P[j]$ | a | b | a | b | a | c |
| $f(j)$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 |

- This shows how much of the beginning of the string matches up to the portion immediately preceding a failed comparison.
- if the comparison fails at (4), we know the a,b in positions 2,3 is identical to positions 0,1


## The KMP Algorithm (contd.)

- the KMP string matching algorithm: Pseudo-Code

Algorithm KMPMatch( $T, P$ )
Input: Strings $T$ (text) with $n$ characters and $P$ (pattern) with $m$ characters.
Output: Starting index of the first substring of $T$ matching $P$, or an indication that $P$ is not a substring of $T$.
$f \leftarrow$ KMPFailureFunction $(P)$ \{build failure function\}
$i \leftarrow 0$
$j \leftarrow 0$
while $i<n$ do
if $P[j]=T[i]$ then
if $j=m-1$ then
return $i-m-1$ \{a match\}
$i \leftarrow i+1$
$j \leftarrow j+1$
else if $j>0$ then $\{$ no match, but we have advanced\}
$j \leftarrow f(j-1)\{\mathrm{j}$ indexes just after matching prefix in P$\}$ else

$$
i \leftarrow i+1
$$

return "There is no substring of $T$ matching $P$ "

## The KMP Algorithm (contd.)

-The KMP failure function: Pseudo-Code

```
Algorithm KMPFailureFunction(P);
    Input: String P (pattern) with m characters
    Ouput: The faliure function }f\mathrm{ for }P\mathrm{ , which maps j to
        the length of the longest prefix of P that is a suffix
        of P[1,..,j]
    i\leftarrow1
    j\leftarrow0
    while}i\leqm-1 d
        if P[j] = P[i] then
            {we have matched j+1 characters}
            f(i)\leftarrowj+1
            i\leftarrowi+1
            j\leftarrowj+1
        else if j>0 then
            {j indexes just after a prefix of P that matches}
            j\leftarrowf(j-1)
        else
            {there is no match}
            f(i)\leftarrow0
            i\leftarrowi+1
```


## The KMP Algorithm (contd.)

- A graphical representation of the KMP string searching algorithm



## The KMP Algorithm (contd.)

- Time Complexity Analysis
- define $k=i-j$
- In every iteration through the while loop, one of three things happens.
- 1) if $T[i]=P[j]$, then $i$ increases by 1 , as does $j$ $k$ remains the same.
- 2) if $T[i]!=P[j]$ and $j>0$, then $i$ does not change and $k$ increases by at least 1 , since $k$ changes from $i-j$ to $i-f(j-1)$
-3) if $T[i]!=P[j]$ and $j=0$, then $i$ increases by 1 and $k$ increases by 1 since $j$ remains the same.


## The KMP Algorithm (contd.)

- Thus, each time through the loop, either $i$ or $k$ increases by at least 1 , so the greatest possible number of loops is $2 n$
- This of course assumes that $f$ has already been computed.
- However, $f$ is computed in much the same manner as KMPMatch so the time complexity argument is analogous. KMPFailureFunction is $\boldsymbol{O}(m)$
- Total Time Complexity: $\boldsymbol{O}(n+m)$


## Regular Expressions

- notation for describing a set of strings, possibly of infinite size
- $\varepsilon$ denotes the empty string
- $\mathrm{ab}+\mathbf{c}$ denotes the set $\{\mathrm{ab}, \mathrm{c}\}$
- a* denotes the set $\{\varepsilon, a, a a, ~ a a a, \ldots\}$
- Examples
- (a+b)* all the strings from the alphabet $\{a, b\}$
- $b^{*}\left(a b^{*} a\right)^{*} b^{*}$ strings with an even number of $a$ 's
$-(a+b)^{*} \operatorname{sun}(a+b)^{*}$ strings containing the pattern "sun"
$-(a+b)(a+b)(a+b) a 4-l e t t e r$ strings ending in $a$

Finite State Automaton

- "machine" for processing strings


Composition of FSA's
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